

NOAA Education Strategic Plan Draft Comments Review


Presented by
Steve Storck

NOAA Office of Education

Summary Statistics - Respondents

Respondent Type

Affiliation	Total
NEP	5
NOAA Office	9
NOAA/NERRS	5
NOAA/Sea Grant	7
SAB-EOEWG	4
K-12 Education	10
Teacher at Sea	9
Higher Education	7
Informal Education	5
Other- Education	12
Other - General	8
Total	81



Positive Comments

Example:

Overall NAML feels that this draft document is one of the most comprehensive and thoughtful pieces of federal planning work developed to date and provides the following summary:

- The document is very well written and captures the salient points of many meeting reports and documents that have been produced in the past few years.
- The Plan is well grounded in need for STEM education, workforce development needs for national competitiveness, legislative authorities etc.
- The document also finally addresses workforce, demographic shifts, and diversity as a central element of the plan rather than at the bottom of a priority list or in an 'other goals' category.

Ivar G. Babb

Director, National Undersea Research Center for the North Atlantic and Great Lakes

President, Northeast Association for Marine and Great Lakes Laboratories

University of Connecticut

Groton, CT


Comment Compilation

- Divide Raw comments into specific points
- Categorize comments by working groups
- Screening group to consider general topics
- Bin comments by topical areas
- Working groups considering comments for revisions
- October 3rd tentative deadline for revisions

Summary Statistics - Comments

Comment Type

Type	Total
General	13
Editorial/Grammatical	192
Introduction	47
Environmental Literacy	177
Interagency/Intra-agency & Engagement	72
Workforce Development	96
Implementation	22
Total	619



Topics of Interest

Topic	Total Comments	# of Reviewers	% of Reviewers
Evaluation	21	14	18%
Pipeline	16	14	18%
Examples	15	12	15%
SAB Report	13	12	15%
Structure	17	10	13%
Target Audience	27	9	11%
Definitions	13	9	11%
Technology	9	8	10%
Legacy	9	8	10%
Engagement	9	6	8%
Tone	6	6	8%
Partnerships	6	6	8%
Implementation	6	6	8%
Social Science	9	5	6%
Intra-agency	7	5	6%